

Teleporting Noncommuting Qubits Require Maximal Entanglement

Sibasish Ghosh^{1*}, Guruprasad Kar¹, Anirban Roy^{1†}, Debasis Sarkar² and Ujjwal Sen^{3‡}

February 1, 2008

¹Physics and Applied Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B. T. Road, Calcutta 700035, India

²Department of Mathematics, Burdwan University, Burdwan, West Bengal, India

³Department of Physics, Bose Institute, 93/1 A. P. C. Road, Calcutta 700009, India

Abstract

Very recently, it was shown by Ghosh, Kar, Roy and Sen (*Entanglement vs. Noncommutativity in Teleportation*, quant-ph/0010012) that if it is *a priori* known that the state to be teleported is from a commuting set of qubits, a separable channel is sufficient. We show that 1 ebit of entanglement is a necessary resource to teleport a qubit even when it is known to be one of two noncommuting states.

Quantum teleportation is a process by which an exact replica of an unknown qubit surfaces, without being physically transported, at a possibly distant location while all information about the qubit vanishes at its original location. The last phrase is a necessity by virtue of the no-cloning theorem[1].

A protocol realising this was proposed by Bennett *et al.*(BBCJPW)[2] which required a maximally entangled channel between the sender say, Alice and the receiver say, Bob. It has been shown in refs.[3, 4], that teleportation of an *unknown* d -dimensional state (not necessarily a qubit) requires maximal entanglement in $d \otimes d$ between the sender and the receiver (See also [5]). Henceforth we consider only qubits.

But what if some *a priori* information is available about the qubit which is to be teleported? Would it then be possible to teleport that qubit through a non-maximally entangled channel? Ghosh *et al.*[5] have shown that even to teleport any set of two noncommuting qubits, entanglement of the channel is *necessary*. Here we show that if the state to be teleported is known to be one of two noncommuting qubits, the channel must be *maximally* entangled in $2 \otimes 2$, i.e., the sender and receiver must share 1 ebit. In ref.[5] it was shown that a separable channel is *sufficient* to teleport qubits (generalized to *qudits* in ref. [6]) which are *a priori* known to be commuting.

First of all we show that even if the qubit to be teleported is known to be one of two non-orthogonal *pure* qubits, the channel must be maximally entangled in $2 \otimes 2$. Suppose that a source delivers a

*res9603@isical.ac.in

†res9708@isical.ac.in

‡dhom@boseinst.ernet.in

particle (call it particle 1) in the state $|\chi_1\rangle$ or $|\chi_2\rangle$ to Alice, where $|\chi_1\rangle$ and $|\chi_2\rangle$ are arbitrary but fixed non-orthogonal qubits. Alice has the task of teleporting the delivered qubit to a possibly distant party Bob, by using only local operations and classical communication and any shared state ρ_{ch}^{AB2} as channel. Here A is with Alice whereas B and 2 are with Bob, 2 being the particle at which the teleported state is to surface.

Whatever local protocol Alice and Bob adheres to, it can be represented as

$$(|\chi_j\rangle\langle\chi_j|)^1 \otimes \rho_{ch}^{AB2} \rightarrow \sum_i A_i \otimes B_i (|\chi_j\rangle\langle\chi_j|)^1 \otimes \rho_{ch}^{AB2} A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger \quad (j=1,2) \quad (1)$$

where $\sum_i (A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger)(A_i \otimes B_i) = I$, A_i 's being operators on the Hilbert space of Alice's particles and B_i 's on Bob's.

Now ρ_{ch}^{AB2} may be thought of as traced out from some pure state $|\Psi\rangle^{AB2M}$ where M is an ancilla [7]. So now the protocol may be written as

$$P \left[|\chi_j\rangle^1 \otimes |\Psi\rangle^{AB2M} \right] \rightarrow \sum_i A_i \otimes B_i \otimes I^M P \left[|\chi_j\rangle^1 \otimes |\Psi\rangle^{AB2M} \right] A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger \otimes I^M \quad (2)$$

where I^M is the identity operator on the Hilbert space of the ancilla M. Now for any such protocol, there always exists a unitary operator U on the combined Hilbert space of 1, A, B, 2, M and the environment E which executes the transformation

$$|\chi_j\rangle^1 \otimes |\Psi\rangle^{AB2M} \otimes |0\rangle^E \rightarrow \sum_i \left(A_i \otimes B_i \otimes I^M |\chi_j\rangle^1 \otimes |\Psi\rangle^{AB2M} \right) \otimes |i\rangle^E \quad (3)$$

so that tracing over the environment, we recover the transformation (2) [8]. $|0\rangle$ is a standard state and the $|i\rangle$'s are mutually orthonormal states of the environment.

Since $|\chi_1\rangle$ and $|\chi_2\rangle$ are non-orthogonal and as the teleported state which is to surface at particle 2 is pure, we must have

$$\begin{aligned} U |\chi_1\rangle^1 \otimes |\Psi\rangle^{AB2M} \otimes |0\rangle^E &= |\chi_1\rangle^2 \otimes |\Psi'\rangle^{1ABME} \\ U |\chi_2\rangle^1 \otimes |\Psi\rangle^{AB2M} \otimes |0\rangle^E &= |\chi_2\rangle^2 \otimes |\Psi'\rangle^{1ABME} \end{aligned}$$

for some state $|\Psi'\rangle$.

Now if

$$|\chi\rangle = a_1 |\chi_1\rangle + a_2 |\chi_2\rangle$$

where a_1 and a_2 are arbitrary complex numbers satisfying $\langle\chi|\chi\rangle = 1$, then

$$U |\chi\rangle^1 \otimes |\Psi\rangle^{AB2M} \otimes |0\rangle^E = |\chi\rangle^2 \otimes |\Psi'\rangle^{1ABME} \quad (4)$$

so that $|\chi\rangle$ is also teleported by the same protocol and channel which teleports $|\chi_1\rangle$ and $|\chi_2\rangle$. But $|\chi\rangle$ is an arbitrary qubit. And teleporting an arbitrary pure qubit requires maximal entanglement as was discussed in ref.[5], using a result of ref.[3]. Thus if the state to be teleported is one of two known non-orthogonal pure qubits, the channel must be maximally entangled in $2 \otimes 2$.

Next consider the case when Alice has the task to teleport a state which is known to be one of the two noncommuting qubits ρ_1 and ρ_2 . As before, any local protocol which Alice and Bob may choose can be represented as

$$\rho_j^1 \otimes \rho_{ch}^{AB2} \rightarrow \sum_i A_i \otimes B_i \rho_j^1 \otimes \rho_{ch}^{AB2} A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger \quad (j=1,2) \quad (5)$$

where $\sum_i (A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger)(A_i \otimes B_i) = I$, A_i 's being operators on the Hilbert space of Alice's particles and B_i 's on Bob's.

Now if ρ_1 and ρ_2 are to be teleported by this protocol, we must have

$$Tr_{1AB} \left(\sum_i A_i \otimes B_i \rho_j^1 \otimes \rho_{ch}^{AB2} A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger \right) = \rho_j^2 \quad (j = 1, 2) \quad (6)$$

Since ρ_1 and ρ_2 are noncommuting qubits, there (uniquely) exist two non-orthogonal pure states $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ such that

$$\rho_j = \lambda_j |\psi\rangle \langle\psi| + (1 - \lambda_j) |\phi\rangle \langle\phi| \quad (j = 1, 2) \quad (7)$$

where $0 \leq \lambda_j \leq 1$ and $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$. We call these (i.e., $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$) the “extreme” states corresponding to ρ_1 and ρ_2 . [9] Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_j Tr_{1AB} \left(\sum_i A_i \otimes B_i (|\psi\rangle \langle\psi|)^1 \otimes \rho_{ch}^{AB2} A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger \right) \\ & + (1 - \lambda_j) Tr_{1AB} \left(\sum_i A_i \otimes B_i (|\phi\rangle \langle\phi|)^1 \otimes \rho_{ch}^{AB2} A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger \right) \\ & = \lambda_j (|\psi\rangle \langle\psi|)^2 + (1 - \lambda_j) (|\phi\rangle \langle\phi|)^2 \end{aligned}$$

which may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_j [Tr_{1AB} \left(\sum_i A_i \otimes B_i (|\psi\rangle \langle\psi|)^1 \otimes \rho_{ch}^{AB2} A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger \right) - (|\psi\rangle \langle\psi|)^2 \\ & - Tr_{1AB} \left(\sum_i A_i \otimes B_i (|\phi\rangle \langle\phi|)^1 \otimes \rho_{ch}^{AB2} A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger \right) + (|\phi\rangle \langle\phi|)^2] \\ & + [Tr_{1AB} \left(\sum_i A_i \otimes B_i (|\phi\rangle \langle\phi|)^1 \otimes \rho_{ch}^{AB2} A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger \right) - (|\phi\rangle \langle\phi|)^2] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, we must have

$$Tr_{1AB} \left(\sum_i A_i \otimes B_i (|\psi\rangle \langle\psi|)^1 \otimes \rho_{ch}^{AB2} A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger \right) = (|\psi\rangle \langle\psi|)^2$$

and

$$Tr_{1AB} \left(\sum_i A_i \otimes B_i (|\phi\rangle \langle\phi|)^1 \otimes \rho_{ch}^{AB2} A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger \right) = (|\phi\rangle \langle\phi|)^2$$

Thus if we assume that two noncommuting qubits are teleported by some protocol and through some channel ρ_{ch} , then the corresponding “extreme” non-orthogonal pure qubits are also teleported by the same protocol and through the same channel.

But we have already proved that to teleport non-orthogonal pure qubits, a maximally entangled channel is necessary. Thus a maximally entangled channel in $2 \otimes 2$ is necessary even if the teleported state is known to be one of two noncommuting qubits.

In ref.[5] it was shown that if Alice has the task of teleporting (to Bob) a qubit (generalized to higher dimensions in ref.[6]) which is *a priori* known to belong to a commuting set, then a certain classically correlated channel (between Alice and Bob) is sufficient. It was further shown that if Alice has to teleport a qubit known to be one of two arbitrary but fixed noncommuting qubits, then the channel between Alice and Bob must be entangled. We show here that in the latter case, the amount of entanglement must be 1 ebit.

U.S. thanks Dipankar Home for encouragement and acknowledges partial support by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, Government of India, New Delhi.

References

- [1] W. K. Wootters and W. H. Zurek, *Nature* **229**, 802 (1982); D. Dieks, *Phys. Lett.* **92A**, 271 (1982); H. P. Yuen, *Phys. Lett.* **113A**, 405 (1986)
- [2] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1895 (1993)
- [3] H. F. Chau and H.-K. Lo, *How much does it cost to teleport?*, quant-ph/9605025
- [4] L. Henderson, L. Hardy and V. Vedral, *Two State Teleportation*, quant-ph/9910028
- [5] S. Ghosh, G. Kar, A. Roy and U. Sen, *Entanglement vs. Noncommutativity in Teleportation*, quant-ph/0010012
- [6] S. Ghosh, G. Kar, A. Roy, D. Sarkar and U. Sen, in preparation
- [7] B. Schumacher, *Phys. Rev. A*, **54**, 2614 (1996)
- [8] K. Kraus, *States, Effects, and Operations: Fundamental Notions of Quantum Theory* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983); see also J. Preskill, Lecture Notes ph229 available at <http://www.theory.caltech.edu/people/preskill/ph229/> and the appendix of [7].
- [9] A decomposition like that of Eq. (7) is of course possible for *commuting* qubits ρ_1 and ρ_2 , in which case $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ are orthogonal.